

Does *Acacia dealbata* express shade tolerance in Mediterranean forest ecosystems of South America?

Narciso Aguilera¹, Carolina Sanhueza², Lubia M. Guedes¹, José Becerra¹, Sebastián Carrasco^{3,4} & Víctor Hernández¹

¹Departamento de Botánica, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Laboratorio de Química de Productos Naturales, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, CP 4030000, Concepción, Chile

²Departamento de Botánica, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Laboratorio de ECOBIOSIS, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, CP 4030000, Concepción, Chile

³Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Laboratorio de Invasiones Biológicas (LIB), Universidad de Concepción, CP 4030000, Concepción, Chile ⁴Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Santiago, Chile

Keywords

Early growth, germination, invasion ecology, low light intensity, native forests, non-native forests, non-native range, photosynthetic efficiency.

Correspondence

Narciso Aguilera, Laboratorio de Química de Productos Naturales, Departamento de Botánica, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, CP 4030000, Concepción, Chile. Tel: +56-41-2204114;

Fax: +56-41-2221569; E-mail: naguileramarin@gmail.com

Funding Information

This research was supported by Research and Development Vice-Rectory of the University of Concepción and by the National Commission for Scientific and Technological Research (CONICYT)/National PhD/2013fellowship folio 63130029 awarded to N.A.

Received: 13 March 2015; Revised: 27 May 2015; Accepted: 10 June 2015

Ecology and Evolution 2015; 5(16): 3338–3351

doi: 10.1002/ece3.1606

Introduction

The impacts of invasive plants in Mediterranean ecosystems are widely known and vary according to the characteristics of invaders and recipient communities (Fried et al. 2014). One of the most invasive taxa in Mediterranean ecosystems and in the world is the genus

Summary

The distribution of Acacia dealbata Link (Fabaceae) in its non-native range is associated with disturbed areas. However, the possibility that it can penetrate the native forest during the invasion process cannot be ruled out. This statement is supported by the fact that this species has been experimentally established successfully under the canopy of native forest. Nonetheless, it is unknown whether A. dealbata can express shade tolerance traits to help increase its invasive potential. We investigated the shade tolerance of A. dealbata under the canopy of two native forests and one non-native for three consecutive years, as well as its early growth and photosynthetic performance at low light intensities (9, 30, and 70 μ mol m⁻²sec⁻¹) under controlled conditions. We found many A. dealbata plants surviving and growing under the canopy of native and non-native forests. The number of plants of this invasive species remained almost constant under the canopy of native forests during the years of study. However, the largest number of A. dealbata plants was found under the canopy of non-native forest. In every case, the distribution pattern varied with a highest density of plants in forest edges decreasing progressively toward the inside. Germination and early growth of A. dealbata were slow but successful at three low light intensities tested under controlled conditions. For all tested light regimes, we observed that in this species, most of the energy was dissipated by photochemical processes, in accordance with the high photosynthetic rates that this plant showed, despite the really low light intensities under which it was grown. Our study reveals that A. dealbata expressed shade tolerance traits under the canopy of native and non-native forests. This behavior is supported by the efficient photosynthetic performance that A. dealbata showed at low light intensities. Therefore, these results suggest that Mediterranean forest ecosystems of South America can become progressively invaded by A. dealbata and provide a basis for estimating the possible impacts that this invasive species can cause in these ecosystems in a timescale.

> *Acacia* (Fabaceae) (Richardson and Rejmánek 2011). According to the global database of Australian acacia records, 386 species have been moved outside Australia by human agency, 71 species are naturalized and 23 are unequivocally invasive (Richardson et al. 2011). The invasion success of *Acacia* species may be related to disturbance events and reproductive traits (Lorenzo

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

et al. 2010). In fact, traits such as ability to effectively reproduce in new locations are common to Australian acacias (Gibson et al. 2011). In addition, the status of *Acacia* invasions may be accelerated under the current global change scenario. Climatic models suggest that about a third of the world's land surface is climatically suitable for Australian acacias (Richardson et al. 2011).

Within this group, Acacia dealbata Link (aromo, silver wattle) is a versatile and highly adaptive tree species which has spread all over the world and currently covers a considerable area in the Atlantic climates and some Mediterranean regions: Southern Europe (Lorenzo et al. 2010; Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. 2013; Vazquez de la Cueva 2014), from north western Iberian Peninsula to Italy (Sheppard et al. 2006; Lazzaro et al. 2014), South Africa (Richardson and Rejmánek 2011), and South America (Pauchard and Maheu-Giroux 2007; Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011). Specifically, in Chile, it was introduced for ornamental purposes in 1881, (Fuentes et al. 2013) and occupies about 100 000 hectares in the Biobío region (Pauchard and Maheu-Giroux 2007). Some characteristics which make this species a successful invader are its phenotypic plasticity, high capacity for vegetative regeneration from rhizomes and its allelopathic properties (Pholman et al. 2005; Sheppard et al. 2006; Lorenzo et al. 2008).

The spreading of this transformer species has recently received great attention (Richardson et al. 2000); strong impacts to community level of A. dealbata invasion have been documented in northwestern Spain and Chile (Lorenzo et al. 2010; Fuentes et al. 2013; Souza-Alonso et al. 2014a; Souza-Alonso et al. 2014b; Souza-Alonso et al. 2015). It enhances nutrient mineralization and decomposition rates (Castro-Díez et al. 2012), modifies the soil microbial communities structure (Lorenzo et al. 2010), decreases diversity and richness of invertebrate communities (Coetzee et al. 2007), and reduces understory plant richness and diversity (Fuentes-Ramirez and Pauchard 2010; González-Muñoz et al. 2012; Lorenzo and Rodríguez-Echeverría 2012). The increased presence of this species in different Mediterranean ecosystems around the world is favored, because it has a high capacity of adaptation to different environmental conditions (Vazquez de la Cueva 2014).

Plant invasions depend highly on disturbance regimes to be successful (Martin et al. 2008; Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011). In Chile, generally *A. dealbata* is associated with roads, riparian habitats, and disturbed areas (Fuentes-Ramirez and Pauchard 2010). However, the possibility that it can penetrate the native forest during the invasion process cannot be ruled out. This statement is

supported by the high capacity of establishment and growth of A. dealbata within the native forest, a behavior that is not observed under its own canopy (Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011). Nonetheless, this contrasts with the strong heliophyte character of A. dealbata in its native range (Valero and Picos 2009). Also, some field observations suggest that regeneration of this species under its own canopy could be more limited as a result of allelopathic effects, rather than low light intensities (N. Aguilera et al. unpubl. data). Several studies report the phytotoxic effects of A. dealbata on native species and soil microorganisms (Lorenzo et al. 2008, 2010, 2011; Lorenzo and Rodríguez-Echeverría 2012; Souza-Alonso et al. 2014a; Souza-Alonso et al. 2014b, 2015; Aguilera et al. 2015), although only Souza-Alonso et al. (2014a) refers to autotoxicity.

From a physiological point of view, the shade tolerance of a given plant is defined as the minimum light under which a plant can survive (Baltzer and Thomas 2007). Although many plants can tolerate low light conditions, only a fraction of them can reproduce under these conditions (Valladares and Niinemets 2008). The most common response of forest invasive species is shade tolerance (Martin and Marks 2006), but the scope of forest invasions by shade-tolerant exotics is unknown (Martin et al. 2008). In this context, it is well documented that forest understories could be more prone to invasion than forest canopies, as most do not appear saturated in terms of either biomass or species diversity (Gilbert and Lechowicz 2005). On the other hand, although the invasion of forests by shade-tolerant exotics may be a slower process than the establishment of exotic species in disturbed or open ecosystems, its long-term effects are likely to be just as pervasive (Martin et al. 2008). Shade-tolerant exotic species are also the most likely to invade protected natural areas in tropical and Mediterranean ecosystems (Gilbert and Lechowicz 2005). A few studies of shade-tolerant exotics indicate that they can have strong impacts on native understory diversity and structure (Martin et al. 2008).

The specific ecophysiological mechanisms by which *A. dealbata* is a successful invader in South America are not well known until now. Then, our hypotheses is that *A. dealbata* in Mediterranean forest ecosystems of South America is shade tolerant, being able to maintain high photosynthetic performance at low light intensities. Therefore, the aims of this study were (1) assessing the ability of *A. dealbata* to establish in the forest under the canopy of native and non-native forests and (2) determining the behavior of germination, early growth, and photosynthetic performance of *A. dealbata* at low light intensities.

Materials and Methods

Study area and plant material

An exploratory study of the shade tolerance of *A. dealbata* under field conditions was conducted at three sites in the Biobío region, southcentral Chile (Fig. 1), during summer (January–February) for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. This region of Chile is characterized by Mediterranean climate with average annual temperatures of 12.4°C, relative humidity of 87% and rainfall of 827 mm (Santibáñez and Uribe 1993). The first site of study is located in the Florida commune (36°49'24.69" S, 72°40'05.91" W at 256 m.a.s.l), which predominantly has an undulating relief. Often, the landscape is dominated by extensive stands of *A. dealbata*, displacing other species of native and non-native plants. There are also

patches of native forests with the presence of Quillaja saponaria, Peumus boldus, Lithrea caustica, and Cryptocarya alba. In the understory, monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous herbs are observed. The second site was in the Ouillón commune (36°50′58.81″ S, 72°32′4.91″ W at 140 m.a.s.l). This area is slightly undulating. The landscape is dominated by shrubs, forest patches with the same species listed above, isolated trees of these native species, and frequent stands of A. dealbata. The third site is located in campus of the University of Concepción (36°49'42.33" S, 73°01'54.95" W at 62 m.a.s.l); specifically in a strongly undulating area. A part of this area was deforested (native trees and shrubs) and reforested with eucalyptus (Eucalyptus globulus) for wood and paper industry. The nondeforested part is dominated by native trees belonging to the Nothofagaceae family, along with native trees of Aristotelia chilensis and Drimys



Figure 1. Study sites in Mediterranean forest ecosystems of South America (Biobío region, southcentral Chile).

winteri, among others. There are also many small stands of invasive *A. dealbata* and *Teline monspessulana* that occupy empty spaces and edges of a path and penetrate progressively into the eucalyptus plantation (non-native forest). These came from 10 different trees in each area and were taken directly from the pods about to expel the seeds. They were stored in closed plastic bags and carried back to the laboratory and refrigerated (~8°C) for about 2 years until they were used in the experiments.

Shade tolerance exploration in field conditions

In the study sites, about 1 ha of forest and forest patches were explored in order to quantitate the amount of A. dealbata plants growing under the canopy. For this, we covered strips of about 10 m wide, where we they were counted and measured all plants of A. dealbata, which allowed us to cover the entire area of exploration. At the same time, we recorded the number of plants of A. dealbata found within range of 0-10 m, 10-20 m and over 20 m, starting from the edge of the forest or wooded patches toward the inside of the targeted area. This was performed using a tape measure and establishing a standard equivalent of 1 m by step. Simultaneously, we counted the amount of A. dealbata plants that were located at height ranges of 0.1-1.0 m, 1.0-2.0 m and over 2.0 m. Only plants located higher than 0.1 m were considered, because from that point, they have enough vitality and independence to survive more successfully when they come from seeds. This aspect was verified in all cases; as such, we removed enough soil to inspect whether each plant was dependent or not of any root from an adult plant.

Shade tolerance exploration in controlled conditions

Simulation of light intensity under canopy

Light intensities in shaded conditions were evaluated by measurement of light under the canopy of native forest, eucalyptus plantations, and stands of *A. dealbata*. Thirty random light measurements were made under all possible forest scenarios: on cloudy, partly cloudy, and sunny days. The average of light intensity for each environment resulted in 9 μ mol photons m⁻²sec⁻¹ (cloudy), 30 μ mol photons m⁻²sec⁻¹ (sunny). Light intensities were measured with a LI-190SA quantum sensor (LICOR, Lincon, NE) and were simulated in a growth chamber (Bioref-Pitec, Santiago,

Chile) by placing different numbers of compact fluorescent lamps in each of the three sections that divided the camera.

Bioassay of germination and early growth

A pool was prepared with equal amounts of A. dealbata seeds from each of the study sites. Thirty seeds of A. dealbata were uniformly placed in Petri dishes (9 cm diameter) lined with a Whatman No 1 paper dis soaked with 5 mL of distilled water. Dishes were sealed with Parafilm® to prevent evaporation: They were randomly placed in a growth chamber at 70% to 75% relative humidity, 12 hours light/dark, and 20 °C. Each light intensity (9, 30 and 70 μ mol m⁻²sec⁻¹) was a treatment. Ten replicates (Petri dishes) were maintained for each treatment until end of the bioassay. The bioassay lasted 23 days, because after that date, the seeds were softened and showed signs of embryo necrosis. Germination was calculated according to Fernandez et al. (2013), and the value was expressed as percentage (GP). Radicle length (RL) and hypocotyl length (HL) of each seedling were measured and expressed in mm.

The evaluated seedlings were immediately transplanted into small pots (250 cm³). The pots were filled with a mixture of soil and organic matter extracted from the first layer (5–7 cm) of the substrate naturally formed under the canopy of native forest located on University of Concepción's campus. The substrate was moistened, and transplant was performed carefully without the roots being damaged. Then, the substrate was pressed so that the roots sufficiently anchored and remained in contact with it. Growing conditions were similar to the bioassay described above. The plants were irrigated weekly without saturating the substrate. The experiment was conducted for 2 months, and at the end of this period, physiological performance was evaluated.

Gas exchange measurements

Measurements of light response curves were performed at different light intensities (0 to 2000 μ mol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹) using an infrared gas analyzer (LI-COR 6400) equipped with an automatic universal leaf cuvette (Li-Cor). The CO₂ reference concentration was 400 ppm, with a flow rate of 300 mol sec⁻¹ and 40–60% external relative humidity. The temperature inside the leaf chamber was maintained at 20°C. Gas exchange values given by Li- Cor 6400 were corrected using the ratio cuvette area/actual leaf area as a correction factor. Measurements were made in five leaves of five different plants selected at random in each treatment.

Fluorescence measurements

Energy partitioning at PSII level was assessed by fluorescence chlorophyll a analyses using a pulse-amplitude fluorimeter (FMS II, Hansatech Instruments). According to the terminology of Van Kooten and Snel (1990), minimal fluorescence (Fo) was determined by applying a weak modulated light (0.4 μ mol m⁻² sec⁻¹) and maximal fluorescence (Fm) was induced by a short pulse (0.8 sec) of saturating light (9000 μ mol m⁻² sec⁻¹). After 10 sec, an actinic light was turned on for 5 min to obtain fluorescence parameters during steady state photosynthesis. Saturating pulses were applied after a steady state of photosynthesis was reached to determine the maximal fluorescence in the light (F'_m) and steady state fluorescence in the light (F_s) . Maximum efficiency of PSII in light capture (F_v/F_m) , effective quantum yield of PSII (**PSII**), and electron transport rate (ETR) were calculated in accordance with Genty et al. (1989). The fraction of PSII centers in open state (qL) and nonphotochemical quenching (NPQ) were calculated as reported by Kramer et al. (2004). The fluorescence measurements were performed at photon flux densities of 0 to 1600 μ mol photons m⁻² sec⁻¹.

The partitioning of absorbed light energy was estimated according to Hendrickson et al. (2004). The allocation of photons absorbed by the PSII antennae to photosynthetic electron transport and to photochemistry of PSII was estimated as Φ PSII = 1 - (F_s/F'_m) corresponding to the effective quantum yield of the PSII. The fraction of light absorbed by the PSII antennae that is dissipated thermally via ApH and/or xanthophyll regulated (NPQ) was calculated as follows: $\Phi NPQ = (F_s/P_s)$ $F'_{\rm m}$) – $(F_{\rm s}/F_{\rm m})$, corresponding to the yield of energy dissipation by downregulation (Song et al. 2010). The light absorbed by the PSII antennae that is lost by either constitutive thermal dissipation (Ff,D) was calculated as follows: Φ Ff,D = F_s/F_m (Cailly et al. 1996). The percentage distribution of the different components of light energy partitioning was established according to the following parameters: PSII, which represents the dissipation by photochemical; NPQ, which represents the nonphotochemical quenching to antenna level indicating which percentage of the energy is lost as heat; and, finally, Ff, D, which represents the constitutive thermal dissipation at the reaction center level. The analysis was performed for every growth light treatment at two light intensities (65 and 1600 photons $m^{-2}sec^{-1}$, corresponding to low and high light, respectively).

Chlorophyll measurements

To estimate chlorophyll content from every growth treatment, we used a handheld Chl meters SPAD-502 (Minolta Camera Co., Osaka, Japan). This has a $0.2 \times 0.3 \text{ cm}^2$ measurement area and calculates an index in "SPAD units" based on absorbance at 650 and 940 nm. The claimed accuracy of the SPAD-502 is ± 1.0 SPAD units. Five separate measurements were made on each leaf; we used the arithmetic mean of these measurements for all subsequent analyses.

Statistical analysis

Bioassays were established on the basis of a completely randomized experimental design. The results of these experiments were subjected to one-way ANOVA (factor: growth light). Before ANOVA analysis, data normality and homogeneity of the variances were, respectively, evaluated with Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene tests. Tukey's test was applied for comparison between treatments. Differences between the values were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$. All the statistical analyses were performed with STATISTICA v6.0 (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK).

Results

Evidences of shade tolerance under field conditions

Systematic monitoring of the study sites during three consecutive years provided robust evidence that indicated the potential shade tolerance of A. dealbata in its non-native range. These evidences are based on the amount of A. dealbata plants detected that survive under the canopy of native and non-native forests (Fig. 2). It is important to note that the number of such plants remained almost constant under the canopy of native forests during the years of study. However, the number of A. dealbata plants decreased by about 85% under the canopy of non-native forest (eucalyptus plantation) during 2013 and 2014 (Fig. 2). The number of A. dealbata plants detected under the canopy of the native forest 2 (Quillón commune) was slightly higher than the one found under the canopy of the native forest 1 (commune of Florida) throughout all the years of the evaluation (Fig. 2).

Moreover, the distribution pattern of *A. dealbata* plants under the canopy varied from the forest edge toward the inside. About 70% of plants were recorded in the first 10 m, decreasing considerably toward the deep forest (Fig. 3). Similarly, almost 80% of the plants did not surpass 1 m of height and less than 10% exceeded 2 m in height (Table 1). Under the canopy of the native forest 1 were recorded more adult trees (estimated by height) of *A. dealbata* that under the canopy of the native forest 2 (Table 1). However, approximately 85% of all



Figure 2. Total of *Acacia dealbata* plants under the canopy of native forest 1 (Florida commune), native forest 2 (Quillón commune), and eucalyptus planting (University of Concepción) for three consecutive years of monitoring.



Figure 3. General percentage of plants of *Acacia dealbata* distributed under the canopy at different ranges, from the forest edges inwards.

Table 1. Frequency distribution of the number of *Acacia dealbata* plants under forest canopy in the monitoring areas according to three classes established a priori.

Monitoring area	Classes			
	0.1–1.0 m	1.0–2.0 m	>2.0 m	Total
Native forest 1	1	3	5	9
Native forest 2	6	7	1	14
Eucalyptus planting	117	12	7	136
Total	124	22	13	159
Percentage (%) ¹	78.0	13.8	8.2	100

¹Percentage of each class in relation to total.

A. dealbata plants were found under the canopy of the eucalyptus plantation and only 5% of those exceeded 2 m in height.

Effect of light intensities on germination and early growth

The germination percentages were high in all three levels of light intensities. Nevertheless, the two treatments with higher light intensity surpassed significantly the lowest light intensity treatment as to GP (P < 0.001) (Fig. 4A). In all cases, the germination fluctuated approximately between 30% and 40%. The RL behaved similarly, showing statistical significance (P < 0.001) for the two higher light intensities compared to the lowest (Fig. 4B). In contrast, the HL under low light intensities was significantly lower (P < 0.001) than the one under the highest level of light (Fig. 4B). The growth of *A. dealbata* in its early stages was very slow. In general, the growth behavior which is shown in Fig. 4B indicates that the plants did not exceed 2.3 cm of their total size during the first month of culture.



Figure 4. Germination percentage of *Acacia dealbata* (A) and radicle and hypocotyl length (B) in response to growth light intensities. Values shown are mean \pm SE. Different letters above bars denote significant differences between treatments after one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05) and Tukey's post hoc test. Capital letters represent the variation among radicle length, while lowercase letters represent variation among hypocotyl length (B).

Effect of light intensities on photosynthetic parameters

For individuals of *A. dealbata*, assimilation and light response curves were not increased in plants grown under higher growth light condition (Fig. 5A). Indeed, the maximum photosynthetic rate (12 μ mol CO₂ m⁻²sec⁻¹) was shown by plants grown at 30 μ mol photons m⁻²sec⁻¹, indicating that higher photosynthetic response was not caused by growth light conditions. Stomatal conductance was not significantly different between light treatments (*P* > 0.05). However, stomatal openness increased in response to an increment of light intensity in plants under the light regimes (Fig. 5B) tending to be higher in the medium light treatment (30 μ mol photons m⁻²sec⁻¹). This could partly explain the highest photosynthetic rate shown in this treatment. The chlorophyll content was significantly higher for individuals grown



Figure 5. Variation in gas exchange parameters in seedlings of *Acacia dealbata* grown in three light environments and measured at 20°C. Carbon assimilation (μ mol CO₂ m⁻²sec⁻¹) represents CO₂ assimilation measured under different light intensities (A). Stomatal conductance (mol H₂O m⁻²sec⁻¹) indicates stomatal openness under different light intensities (B). Values shown are mean \pm SE. Different letters denote significant differences between growth light intensities after one-way ANOVA (*P* < 0.05) and Tukey's post hoc test (A).

under the highest light intensity (Fig. 6), although it did not result in a higher photosynthetic rate.

Individuals of A. dealbata grown under all light regimes showed that at low light, most of the energy is dissipated by photochemical process (Fig. 7A, B, and C left side). This result is accordance with the high photosynthetic rates that this plant showed despite the low intensity under which it was grown (From 9 to 70 µmol m⁻²sec⁻¹). The increase in NPQ under higher growth light suggests that this species starts to activate thermal dissipation mechanisms as it gets increasingly exposed to light during its development. At high light measurement $(1600 \ \mu \text{mol m}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1})$ (Fig. 7A, B, C right side), most of the excess light is dissipated by NPQ at the antenna level. Heat dissipation was higher in plants grown under the highest growth light (70 μ mol m⁻²sec⁻¹), which could be related to a higher pigment concentration (Fig. 6). However, xanthophyll pigments which are involved in heat dissipation were not evaluated in this study.

Discussion

The absence of sapling individuals of *A. dealbata* under its own canopy made us think, initially, that low light intensity was the cause of the phenomenon (N. Aguilera et al. unpubl. data). However, the number *A. dealbata* individuals under the canopy registered in each study areas indicated that this invasive species is able to respond favorably to variations in light intensity in its non-native range. Many studies have shown that when



Figure 6. Chlorophyll content in seedling of *Acacia dealbata* grown in three light environments. Amounts of chlorophyll are expressed in "SPAD units" based on the absorbance at 650 and 940 nm. Values shown are mean \pm SE. Different letters above bars denote significant differences between treatments after one-way ANOVA (*P* < 0.05) and Tukey's post hoc test.



Figure 7. Percentage distribution of the different components of light energy partitioning in seedlings of *Acacia dealbata* grown in three light environments. Low light and high light represent light intensities measurement corresponding to 65 and 1600 μ mol m⁻²sec⁻¹. PSII represents dissipation by photochemical processes, NPQ represents the non-photochemical quenching, and Ff,D, represents intrinsic dissipation.

an exotic species arrives in a new environment, its morphology, behavior, and genetic characters may change rapidly, even though leap changes, in order to form the features which are beneficial to its survival and development (Chengxu et al. 2011). Other studies in Europe and Australia have revealed that Cytisus scoparius does not recruit beneath dense stands of conspecifics in its native range (Paynter et al. 1998), yet where it is exotic, in Australia, seedlings readily establish under monocultural stands of conspecifics (Sheppard et al. 2000). Pholman et al. (2005) have also shown that widespread species, such as A. dealbata, display greater plasticity than restricted-range species in key seedling establishment traits. These authors suggested that A. dealbata seedlings show fundamental differences in the physiology and plasticity of leaflets with respect to restricted-range species. These traits would help *A. dealbata* to efficiently utilize diverse habitats in invaded regions (Lorenzo et al. 2010).

Each of the explored forests showed some peculiarity in relation to the presence of this invasive species under their canopy. For example, in the native forest 2 that were observed clearer signs of major disturbance (felled trees) than in the native forest 1, the former showing, therefore, a lower native trees density than the latter. This facilitated greater penetration and establishment of A. dealbata propagules in the native forest 2. The uniform behavior of the number of A. dealbata plants registered under the canopy of both native forests during the three consecutive years of monitoring indicates that penetration process within the forest is slow. This statement is supported by the fact that less than 10% of A. dealbata plants surpassed 2 m in height. In this case, we are assuming that there is a direct relationship between the height and the age of plant (an older age corresponding to the tallest plants). At the same time, this is consistent with the fact that the invasion front presented the greatest abundance of A. dealbata plants within the first 10 m of the outer fringe of the forests. This constituted the largest source of propagules that will increasingly occupy new areas within the forest. As such, the greater has been the disturbance of the native forest in its depth, the greater will be the amount of empty niches (Levine and D'Antonio 1999; Mack et al. 2000; Hierro et al. 2005) that can be quickly occupied by these new propagules. This implies that some native assemblages might be open to species that have unique functional attributes (i.e., A. dealbata) and can access niche space that is not inhabited by natives (Callaway and Maron 2006).

Besides the presence of A. dealbata plants growing under the canopy, the number of roots that have penetrated the native forest and that come from its periphery should also be considered. In this way, the invasive species can start pressing native plants for resources (Hierro et al. 2005). Normally, invasive exotic plants might owe their success to the fact that they have novel functional attributes that enable them to access free resources that are not depleted by natives (Shea and Chesson 2002). In addition, new propagules produced by agamic reproduction shapes will ensure that new plants can establish into the native forest from shoots that develop from their roots. Most of these plants can be associated with a mother plant which survives with relative ease and can be highly competitive (Valero and Picos 2009). Several exotic species, among them A. dealbata, can successfully reproduce by way of gamic and agametic reproduction (Richardson and Kluge 2008; Marchante et al. 2010). These features ensure that this invasive species multiply faster in its non-native range (Lorenzo et al. 2010).

Regarding the above, it is important to note that between 50% and 60% of the A. dealbata plants that were located in the first 10 m from the edge of the forest come from the roots of adult plants (offshoot). However, from 10 m toward the deep forest, more than 90% of the plants were not connected with the roots of other plants. This indicates that most plants of A. dealbata that were found in the deep of native and non-native forest were multiplied from seeds. Probably, the displacement of seeds toward the deep forest was due to runoff caused by rain and ants. This reasoning is consistent with the fact that ninety percent of the world's myrmecochores are found in the Southern Hemisphere (Gómez and Espadaler 1998). Furthermore, it has been informed that A. dealbata has been benefited from the dispersion by ants (Edwards and Westoby 1996; Lorenzo et al. 2010).

Moreover, the large number of A. dealbata plants under the canopy of the eucalyptus plantation in 2012 was due to the structure of its rows, which left many empty spaces that were used by the invasive species to settle down. The number of A. dealbata plants decreased drastically in the next 2 years because they were cut due to the maintenance of the eucalyptus plantation. It is known that eucalyptus species impacts negatively the dynamics, composition, and structure of ecosystems (Richardson et al. 2007), but A. dealbata was able to successfully survive in such circumstances. The main damages attributed to eucalyptus plantations are related to soil acidification, diminution of soil organic carbon, increase of aliphaticity degree of humic substances, and increase of affinity and capacity of hydrolytic activity from soil microbial communities for forested sites (Carrasco-Letelier et al. 2004; Yelenik et al. 2004; Galatowitsch and Richardson 2005). Also, eucalyptus plantations increase water loss through the high evapotranspiration rates of these exotics trees compared with those of native flora (Le Maitre et al. 2000) and play a role in the development of soil water repellency (Ruwanza et al. 2013). However, a greater productivity has been seen in mixed rather than monospecific stands of Eucalyptus globulus and Acacia mearnsii (Forrestera et al. 2004). In addition, the positive relationship between Eucalyptus and Acacia species has been confirmed by the introduction of Acacia mangium in the understory of eucalyptus in order to increase its biomass, because of the nitrogen input brought by A. mangium (Laclau et al. 2008). In this framework, nitrogen-fixing Australian acacias that includes some of the most important plant invaders on a global scale (Richardson and Rejmánek 2011) have great influence on nitrogen and carbon dynamics, being able to successfully establish and grow even in resource-limited ecosystems (Funk and Vitousek 2007). In this study, A. dealbata overcame the light limitations and ecosystem disturbance associated with eucalyptus plantations and was able to establish in the undergrowth with relative ease. It is important to note that the planting design and the eucalyptus canopy allow greater light penetration than the native forest, where trees are distributed stochastically with a canopy of different dimensions and often laterally expanding.

The good performance of A. dealbata growing in shady conditions was demonstrated from early ontogenetic stages. The high GP achieved in all light levels indicates that the typical shade of Mediterranean forests is not an impediment to A. dealbata's establishment from seeds under its canopy. Normally, the GP of A. dealbata does not exceed 20% (N. Aguilera et al. Unpubl. data). Therefore, according to these authors, the values obtained in the present study indicate an germination process in accordance with the responses of A. dealbata seeds stored for 2 years, because they have lost the latency insofar as time elapses. In this sense, a recent study of soils invaded by A. longifolia (congener A. dealbata) in Portugal shows low (<12%) seed germinability (Marchante et al. 2010); but the residence time of seeds in the seed bank was not specified.

Furthermore, it is also important to note that light levels used in this study are not constant over time under the canopy of the forests, because they correspond to cloudy, partly cloudy, and sunny days. Such light levels in these ranges may fluctuate during 1 day, depending of the weather variations. Light intensities out of the canopy (full sun) can range from about 500 to 800 μ mol $m^{-2}sec^{-1}$ (and even reach 2000 $\mu mol m^{-2}sec^{-1}$ at midday), so A. dealbata is able to respond successfully to a wide range of light availability for its establishment and normal growth. This statement is consistent with the maximum photosynthetic performance of A. dealbata in light intensities higher than 2000 μ mol m⁻²sec⁻¹ (Hunt et al. 2006). In this sense, it has been suggested that the performance of this and other invasive species in wide gradients of light intensities could be an indicator of high phenotypic plasticity (Hunt et al. 2006; Funk 2008; Godov et al. 2011). It has been considered that phenotypic plasticity is the capacity of an organism to modify its phenotypic expression in response to environmental changes (West-Eberhard 2003). Phenotypic plasticity may be an adaptive feature for plants (Sultan 1995), and this is verified when changes in phenotypic expression of functional traits in response to a particular environment enhance plant fitness (Pigliucci 2001). Thus, plasticity may increase ecological breadth of invasive species, allowing them to express advantageous phenotypes in a broader range of environments (Richards et al. 2006; Richardson and Pyšek 2006). High phenotypic plasticity has been linked to plant invasiveness because it facilitates

colonization and rapid spreading over large and environmentally heterogeneous new areas (Godoy et al. 2011).

Despite the fact that A. dealbata can grow under really low light intensities (simulating the conditions under the canopy), this species is also able to reach high photosynthetic rates which, from a physiological point of view, suggests plastic responses to light and likely shade tolerance. In A. mangium, shade tolerance was associated with growth under red radiation (Yu and Ong 2003), indicating that shade tolerant behavior could be modulated for some specific length absorption from the photosynthetic active radiation spectra (PAR). In our study, high photosynthetic performance of A. dealbata was observed under low light intensities, reaching around 70% of PSII which indicates that most of the energy captured at low light intensity is assimilated photochemically, contributing to photosynthesis and related metabolic processes. Considering the seedlings that we tested, our results indicate that its photosynthetic machinery is highly efficient, even under the earliest ontogenetic stage and at very low light levels, which undoubtedly determines its invasiveness. Similarly, high photosynthetic efficiency was reported for others species of Acacia (A. auriculiformis and A. mangium), which showed high photosynthetic performance growing at different levels of the canopy (Atkin et al. 1998). These authors studied ten species of Acacia and observed that photosynthesis capacity depends on diurnal patterns of irradiance, suggesting that individuals able to dominate the canopy (adults) had higher photosynthetic rates that the ones reported in our study. High photosynthetic rate in Acacia species has been reported to be responsible for their high growth rate (Yu and Ong 2003) and has also been strongly related with their nitrogen content (Evans 1989; Evans et al. 2000).

It has been suggested that both reaction center and antenna quenching function in vivo to different extents to protect PSII from photodamage, depending on the species as well as the environmental conditions (Ivanov et al. 2008). The maintenance of an important level of intrinsic dissipation (between growth and measurement light), which was between 16% and 29% in our study, could indicate that dissipation at the reaction center level could play an important photoprotection role in shade plants, constituting a mechanism to avoid damage by excess of light. This mechanism also has been proposed as one of photoprotection against desiccation in mosses (Heber et al. 2006).

On the other hand, the low performance of PSII under high light intensities may reflect acclimation to low light intensity (Taiz and Zeiger 2006), which probably occurs with individuals growing under the canopy. To cope with the excess of energy (e.g., sun flecks that are very com-

mon under the canopy), some plants are able to develop photoprotective mechanisms to avoid damage by high irradiance. In our results, the activation of heat dissipation (increase in NPQ) under high growth light conditions suggests that during its development, at early ontogenetic stages (like seedlings that were used in this study), A. dealbata starts to develop mechanisms of photoprotection in order to cope with light excess (Barton 2013). This determines that adult individuals of this species have a robust photosynthetic apparatus well adapted to light, a feature that could certainly explain, at least partly, the high invasive capacity of A. dealbata. Increase in NPQ, which is directly related with the xanthophyll cycle (Demmig-Adams and Adams 1996), seems to be a common mechanism in Acacia species to cope with excess of energy. For instance, in tropical A. crassicarpa, the reduction of its phyllode angle to maximize the light capture, thus increasing its xanthophyll cycle activities, also plays a photoprotective role (Liu et al. 2003).

Through this research, we demonstrated that A. dealbata in a Mediterranean ecosystem shows an optimum photosynthetic performance, even when it grows in very low light environments. This indicates that this species, typically considered as light demanding in its native range, can become shade-tolerant in its non-native range (DeWalt et al. 2004). In general, shade tolerance has been a hotly debated and controversial issue. However, several authors agree that competition in a given environment favors plants whose form and physiology maximize their net rate of carbon gain (Givnish 1988; Walters and Reich 1999; Valladares and Niinemets 2008). The main traits associated with shade tolerance have been: lower respiration rates, higher photosynthetic rates in low light, lower leaf light compensation points and higher apparent quantum yields, higher leaf area per unit leaf dry mass, and higher wholeplant leaf fractions (leaf area ratio, leaf mass ratio) (Walters and Reich 1999; Valladares and Niinemets 2008). In this sense, the present study is the first shade tolerance approach of A. dealbata in its non-native range. It also provides evidence and scientific basis that suggest the usefulness of conducting deep morphological and biochemical studies in order to explain the maximization of photosynthetic carbon gain of this species in low light conditions.

In conclusion, *A. dealbata* – in the three study sites – shows clear signs that its invasion front could gradually penetrate different native and non-native forests that match the distribution range of this invasive species in the Mediterranean region of South America. Therefore, this study confirms that *A. dealbata* is a highly adaptive tree species in the Mediterranean regions of the world (Lorenzo et al. 2010; Fuentes-Ramirez et al. 2011; Richardson and Rejmánek 2011; Lazzaro et al. 2014). In invasion ecology, it is generally assumed that undisturbed forests are highly

resistant to plant invasions (Martin et al. 2008). However, it has been revealed that this assumption is not strongly sustained because in temperate and tropical regions around the world, at least 139 exotic plant species are known to have invaded deeply shaded forest understories that have not undergone substantial disturbance (Martin et al. 2008). In this regard, A. dealbata is an another example of exotic species that has proved capable of invading forests regardless of their degree of disturbance and shade intensity. To our knowledge, this is the first study that discusses the likely shade tolerance of this invasive species in its nonnative range and that provides physiological basis to understand better this particular behavior. In particular, we make an emphasis on the high photosynthetic performance that A. dealbata showed under very low light intensities. Finally, it should be noted that A. dealbata's slower rate of invasion of native forests inwards may have lulled us into ignoring its potentially severe and long-term impacts on Mediterranean forest ecosystems of South America and probably worldwide.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Research and Development Vice-Rectory of the University of Concepción and by the National Commission for Scientific and Technological Research (CONICYT)/National PhD/2013-fellowship folio 63130029 awarded to N.A. The authors are very grateful to Randi J. Aguilera Guedes (Colegio República de Brasil) for his support with field and laboratory work. We also thank Mrs. Melanie Belanger for revising of the English of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Aguilera, N., J. Becerra, P. Lorenzo, C. Villaseñor-Parada, L. González, and V. Hernández. 2015. Effects and identification of chemical compounds released from the invasive *Acacia dealbata* link. Chem. Ecol. doi:10.1080/02757540.2015. 1050004.
- Atkin, O. K., M. Schortemeyer, N. McFarlane, and J. R. Evans. 1998. Variation in the components of relative growth rate in ten Acacia species from contrasting environments. Plant Cell Environ. 21:1007–1017.
- Baltzer, J. L., and S. C. Thomas. 2007. Determinants of wholeplant light requirements in Bornean rain forest tree saplings. J. Ecol. 95:1208–1221.
- Barton, K. 2013. Ontogenetic patterns in the mechanisms of tolerance to herbivory in Plantago. Ann. Bot.. doi: 10.1093/ aob/mct083, available online at www.aob.oxfordjournals.org.

- Cailly, A., F. Rizza, B. Genty, and J. Harbinson. 1996. Fate of excitation at PSII in leaves. The non-photochemical side. Plant Physiol. Biochem. Special Issue, 86.
- Callaway, R. M., and J. L. Maron. 2006. What have exotic plant invasions taught us over the past 20 years? Trends Ecol. Evol. 21:369–374.
- Carrasco-Letelier, L., G. Eguren, C. Castiñeira, O. Parra, and D. Panario. 2004. Preliminary study of prairies forested with *Eucalyptus* sp. at the northwestern Uruguayan soils. Environ. Pollut. 127:49–55.
- Castro-Díez, P., N. Fierro-Brunnenmeister, N. González-Muñoz, and A. Gallardo. 2012. Effects of exotic and native tree leaf litter on soil properties of two contrasting sites in the Iberian Peninsula. Plant Soil 350:179–191.
- Chengxu, W., Z. Mingxing, C. Xuhui, and Q. Bo. 2011. Review on allelopathy of exotic invasive plants. Procedia Eng. 18:240–246.
- Coetzee, B. W. T., B. J. Van Rensburg, and M. P. Robertson. 2007. Invasion of grasslands by silver wattle, *Acacia dealbata* (Mimosaceae) alters beetle (Coleoptera) assemblage structure. Afr. Entomol. 15:328–339.
- Demmig-Adams, B., and W. W. Adams. 1996. The role of xanthophyll cycle carotenoids in the protection of photosynthesis. Trends Plant Sci. 1:21–26.
- DeWalt, S. J., J. S. Denslow, and K. Ikes. 2004. Enemy release facilitates habitat expansion of the invasive tropical shrub, Clidemia hirta (Melastomataceae). Ecology 85:471–483.
- Edwards, W., and M. Westoby. 1996. Reserve mass and dispersal investment in relation to geographic range of plant species: phylogenetically independent contrasts. J. Biogeogr. 23:329–338.
- Evans, J. R. 1989. Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants. Oecologia 78:9–19.
- Evans, J. R., M. Schortemeyer, N. McFarlane, and O. K. Atkin.
 2000. Photosynthetic characteristics of 10 *Acacia* species grown under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂. Aust.
 J. Plant Physiol. 27:13–25.
- Fernandez, C., M. Santoja, R. Gros, Y. Monnier, M. Chomel, V. Baldy, et al. 2013. Allelochemicals of *Pinus halepensis* as drivers of biodiversity in mediterranean open mosaic habitats during the colonization stage of secondary succession. J. Chem. Ecol. 39:298–311.
- Forrestera, D. I., J. Bauhusa, and P. K. Khanna. 2004. Growth dynamics in a mixed-species plantation of *Eucalyptus* globulus and Acacia mearnsii. For. Ecol. Manage. 193: 81–95.
- Fried, G., B. Laitung, C. Pierre, N. Changué, and F. D. Paneta. 2014. Impact of invasive plants in Mediterranean habitats: disentangling the effects of characteristics of invaders and recipient communities. Biol. Invasions 16:1639–1658.
- Fuentes, N., A. Pauchard, P. Sánchez, J. Esquivel, and A. Marticorena. 2013. A new comprehensive datebase of alien

plant species in Chile based on herbarium records. Biol. Invasions 15:847–858.

Fuentes-Ramirez, A., and A. Pauchard. 2010. Relación entre la invasión de *Acacia dealbata* Link (Fabaceae: Mimosoideae) y la riqueza de especies vegetales en el centro-sur de Chile. Gayana Bot. 67:188–197.

Fuentes-Ramirez, A., A. Pauchard, L. Cavieres, and A. García. 2011. Survival and growth of *Acacia dealbata* vs. native trees across an invasion front in south-central Chile. For. Ecol. Manage. 261:1003–1009.

Funk, J. L. 2008. Differences in plasticity between invasive and native plants from a low resource environment. J. Ecol. 96:1162–1173.

Funk, J. L., and P. M. Vitousek. 2007. Resource-use efficiency and plant invasion in low-resource systems. Nature 446:1079–1081.

Galatowitsch, S., and D. M. Richardson. 2005. Riparian scrub recovery after clearing of invasive alien trees in headwater streams of the Western Cape, South Africa. Biol. Conserv. 122:509–521.

Genty, B., J. Briantais, and N. Baker. 1989. The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 990:87–92.

Gibson, M. R., D. M. Richardson, E. Marchante, H.Marchante, J. G. Rodger, G. N. Stone, et al. 2011.Reproductive biology of Australian acacias: important mediator of invasiveness? Divers. Distrib. 17:911–933.

Gilbert, B., and M. J. Lechowicz. 2005. Invasibility and abiotic gradients: the positive correlation between native and exotic plant diversity. Ecology 86:1848–1855.

Givnish, T. J. 1988. Adaptation to sun and shade: a whole plant perspective. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 15:63–92.

Godoy, O., F. Valladares, and P. Castro-Diez. 2011. Multispecies comparison reveals that invasive and native plants differ in their traits but not in their plasticity. Funct. Ecol. 25:1248–1259.

Gómez, C., and X. Espadaler. 1998. Myrmecochorous dispersal distances: a world survey. J. Biogeogr. 25:573–580.

González-Muñoz, N., M. Costa-Tenorio, and T. Espigares. 2012. Invasion of alien *Acacia dealbata* on Spanish Quercus robur forests: impact on soils and vegetation. For. Ecol. Manage. 269:214–221.

Heber, U., W. Bilger, and V. Shuvalov. 2006. Thermal energy dissipation in reaction centres and in the antenna of photosystem II protects desiccated poikilohydric mosses against photo-oxidation. J. Exp. Bot. 57:2993–3006.

Hendrickson, L., R. Furbank, and W. Chow. 2004. A simple alternative approach to assessing the fate of absorbed light energy using chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosynth. Res. 79:209–218.

Hierro, J. L., J. L. Maron, and R. M. Callaway. 2005. A biogeographical approach to plant invasions: the importance

of studying exotics in their introduced and native range. J. Ecol. 93:5–15.

Hunt, M. A., M. Battaglia, N. J. Davidson, and G. L. Unwin. 2006. Competition between plantation *Eucalyptus nitens* and *Acacia dealbata* weeds in northeastern Tasmania. For. Ecol. Manage. 233:260–274.

Ivanov, A. G., V. Hurry, P. V. Sanel, G. Öquist, and N. P. Huner. 2008. Reaction centre quenching of excess light energy and photoprotection of photosystem II. J. Plant Biol., 51:85–96.

Kramer, D. M., G. Johnson, O. Kiirats, and G. Edwards. 2004. New fluorescence parameters for the determination of QA redox state and excitation energy. Photosynth. Res. 79:209– 218.

Laclau, J. P., J. P. Bouillet, J. L. Gonçalves, E. V. Silva, C. Jourdan, M. C. S. Cunha, et al. 2008. Mixed-species plantations of *Acacia mangium* and *Eucalyptus grandis* in Brazil 1. Growth dynamics and aboveground net primary production. For. Ecol. Manage., 255:3905–3917.

Lazzaro, L., C. Giuliani, A. Fabiani, A. E. Agnelli, R. Pastorelli, A. Lagomarsino, et al. 2014. Soil and plant changing after invasion: the case of *Acacia dealbata* in a Mediterranean ecosystem. Sci. Total Environ. 497–498:491–498.

Le Maitre, D. C., D. B. Versfeld, and R. A. Chapman. 2000. The impact of invading alien plants on surface water resources in South Africa: a preliminary assessment. Water 26:397–408.

Levine, J., and C. M. D'Antonio. 1999. Elton revisited: a review of evidence linking diversity and invasibility. Oikos 87:15–26.

Liu, L., S. M. Xu, and K. C. Woo. 2003. Influence of leaf angle on photosynthesis and the xanthophyll cycle in the tropical tree species *Acacia crassicarpa*. Tree Physiol., 23:1255–1261.

Lorenzo, P., and S. Rodríguez-Echeverría. 2012. Influence of soil microorganisms, allelopathy and soil origin on the establishment of the invasive *Acacia dealbata*. Plant Ecol. Divers 5:67–73.

Lorenzo, P., E. Pazos-Malvido, L. González, and M. Reigosa. 2008. Allelopathic interference of invasive *Acacia dealbata*: physiological effects. Allelopathy J. 22:453–462.

Lorenzo, P., L. Gonzalez, and M. J. Reigosa. 2010. The genus *Acacia* as invader: the characteristic case of *Acacia dealbata* Link in Europe. Ann. For. Sci. 67:101–112.

Lorenzo, P., A. E. Palomera-Pérez, M. J. Reigosa, and L. González. 2011. Allelopathic interference of invasive Acacia dealbata Link on the physiological parameters of native understory species. Plant Ecol. 212:403–412.

Mack, R. N., D. Simberloff, W. M. Lonsdale, H. Evans, M. Clout, and F. A. Bazzaz. 2000. Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecol. Appl. 10:689–710.

Marchante, H., H. Freitas, and J. H. Hoffmann. 2010. Seed ecology of an invasive alien species, *Acacia* longifolia

(Fabaceae), in Portuguese dune ecosystems. Am. J. Bot. 97:1–11.

Martin, P. H., and P. L. Marks. 2006. Intact forests provide only weak resistance to a shade tolerant invasive Norway maple (*Acer platanoides* L). J. Ecol. 94:1070–1079.

Martin, P. H., C. D. Canham, and P. L. Marks. 2008. Why forests appear resistant to exotic plant invasions: intentional introductions, stand dynamics and the role of shade tolerance. Front. Ecol. Environ. 6:142–149.

Pauchard, A., and M. Maheu-Giroux (2007) Acacia dealbata invasion across multiple scales: conspicuous flowering species can help us study invasion pattern and processes.
Pp. 203 in H. Strand, R. Höft, J. Strittholt, L. Miles, N. Horning, E. Fosnight, W. Turner, eds. Sourcebook on remote sensing and biodiversity indicators. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Technical Series No. 32, Montreal.

Paynter, Q., S. V. Fowler, J. Memmott, and A. W. Sheppard. 1998. Factors affecting the establishment of *Cytisus scoparius* in southern France: implications for managing both native and exotic populations. J. Appl. Ecol. 35:582–595.

Pholman, C., A. Nicotra, and B. Murray. 2005. Geographic range size, seedling ecophysiology and phenotypic plasticity in Australian *Acacia* species. J. Biogeogr. 32:341–351.

Pigliucci, M. 2001. Phenotypic plasticity: beyond nature and nurture. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, EE.UU.

Richards, C. L., O. Bossdorf, N. Z. Muth, J. Gurevitch, and M. Pigliucci. 2006. Jack of all trades, master of some? On the role of phenotypic plasticity in plant invasions. Ecol. Lett. 9:981–993.

Richardson, D. M., and R. L. Kluge. 2008. Seed banks of invasive Australian *Acacia* species in South Africa: role in invasiveness and options for management. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 10:161–177.

Richardson, D. M., and P. Pyšek. 2006. Plant invasions: merging the concepts of species invasiveness and community invisibility. Prog. Phys. Geogr. 30:409–431.

Richardson, D. M., and M. Rejmánek. 2011. Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species – a global review. Divers. Distrib. 17:788–809.

Richardson, D. M., P. Pyšek, M. Rejmánek, M. G. Barbour, F. D. Panetta, and C. J. West. 2000. Naturalization and invasion of alien plants: concepts and definitions. Divers. Distrib. 6:93–107.

Richardson, D. M., P. M. Holmes, K. J. Esler, S. M.Galatowitsch, J. C. Stromberg, S. P. Kirkman, et al. 2007.Riparian zones - degradation, alien plant invasions and restoration prospects. Divers. Distrib. 13:126–139.

Richardson, D. M., J. Carruthers, C. Hui, F. A. C. Impson, J. T. Miller, M. P. Robertson, et al. 2011. Human-mediated introductions of Australian acacias – a global experiment in biogeography. Divers. Distrib. 17:771–787.

Rodríguez-Echeverría, S., C. Afonso, P. Correia, P. Lorenzo, and R. Roiloa. 2013. The effect of soil legacy on competition and invasion by *Acacia dealbata* link. Plant Ecol. 214:1139–1146.

Ruwanza, S., M. Gaertner, D. M. Richardson, and K. J. Esler. 2013. Soil water repellency in riparian systems invaded by *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*: a restoration perspective from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. Geoderma 200–201:9– 17.

Santibáñez, F., and J. M. Uribe. 1993. Atlas agroclimático de Chile. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias y Forestales, Santiago de Chile.

Shea, K., and P. Chesson. 2002. Community ecology theory as a framework for biological invasions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 17:170–176.

Sheppard, A. W., P. Hodge, and Q. Paynter. 2000. Factors affecting broom regeneration in Australia and their management implications. Plant Prot. Q. 15: 156–161.

Sheppard, A. W., R. H. Shaw, and R. Sforza. 2006. Top 20 environmental weeds for classical biological control in Europe: a review of opportunities, regulations and other barriers to adoption. Weed Res. 46:93–117.

Song, L., W. Chow, L. Sun, C. Li, and C. Peng. 2010.
Acclimation of photosystem II to high temperature in two *Wedelia* species from different geographical origins: implications for biological invasions upon global warming.
J. Exp. Bot. 61:4087–4096.

Souza-Alonso, P., L. Gonzalez, and C. Cavaleiro. 2014a. Ambient has become strained. Identification of acacia dealbata link. Volatiles interfering with germination and early growth of native species. J. Chem. Ecol. 40:1051–1061.

Souza-Alonso, P., A. Novoa, and L. Gonzalez. 2014b. Soil biochemical alterations and microbial community responses under *Acacia dealbata* link invasion. Soil Biol. Biochem. 79:100–108.

Souza-Alonso, P., A. Guisande-Collazo, and L. Gonzalez. 2015. Gradualism in *Acacia dealbata* link invasion: impact on soil chemistry and microbial community over a chronological sequence. Soil Biol. Biochem. 80:315–323.

Sultan, S. E. 1995. Phenotypic plasticity and plant adaptation. Acta Bot. Neerl. 44:363–383.

Taiz, L., and E. Zeiger. 2006. Plant physiology, 4th ed. Sinauer Associates Inc., Publishers, Sunderland, MA.

Valero, E., and M. Picos (2009) Parcelas invadidas por Acacia dealbata Link. Evaluación de su potencial aprovechamiento incluyendo bioenergía. 5to Congreso Forestal Español, Sociedad Española de Ciencias Forestales, Ávila, España, 12 pp.

Valladares, F., and Ü. Niinemets. 2008. Shade tolerance, a key plant feature of complex nature and consequences. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39:237–257.

Van Kooten, O., and J. F. H. Snel. 1990. The use of chlorophyll fluorescence nomenclature in plant stress physiology. Photosynth. Res. 25:147–150.

- Vazquez de la Cueva, A. 2014. Case studies of the expansión of *Acacia dealbata* in the valley of the river Miño (Galicia, Spain). For. Syst. 23:3–4.
- Walters, M. B., and P. Reich. 1999. Low-light carbon balance and shade tolerance in the seedlings of woody plants: do winter deciduous and broad-leaved evergreen species differ? New Phytol. 143:143–154.
- West-Eberhard, M. J.. 2003. Developmental plasticity and evolution. Oxford University Press, Oxford University Press, UK.
- Yelenik, S. G., W. D. Stock, and D. M. Richardson. 2004. Ecosystem impacts of invasive *Acacia saligna* in South African Fynbos. Restor. Ecol. 12:44–51.
- Yu, H., and B. L. Ong. 2003. Effect of radiation quality on growth and photosynthesis of *Acacia mangium* seedlings. Photosynthetica 41:349–355.